| 1 | DAVID L. ANDERSON (CABN 149604) United States Attorney | |
|---|--|--|
| 3 | HALLIE HOFFMAN (CABN 210020) Chief, Criminal Division | |
| 4 | DANIEL PASTOR (CABN 297948) Assistant United States Attorney | |
| 567 | 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-6778 FAX: (415) 436-7027 daniel.pastor@usdoj.gov | |
| 8 | Attorneys for United States of America | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 10 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 11 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) CASE NO. 19-CR-0636 EMC |
| 14 | Plaintiff, | ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME UNDER THE OUTPUT OUTPUT DESCRIPTION OUTPUT O |
| 15 | v. |)) |
| 16 | JUAN VALDOVINOS MENDOZA a/k/a Juan Mendoza Valdovinos, |)) |
| 17 | Defendant. |)) |
| 18 | | - |
| 19 | On January 22, 2020, the parties appeared before this Court for a status conference. At that time, | |
| 20 | defense counsel requested a continuance until February 5, 2020, so that she could continue to review | |
| 21 | discovery with the defendant. The matter was scheduled for a change of plea on February 5, 2020. | |
| 22 | With the agreement of the parties as explained on the record during the hearing, the Court enters | |
| 23 | this order documenting the exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act from January 22, 2020 to | |
| 24 | February 5, 2020 (inclusive), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv). The parties agreed to | |
| 25 | the exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act to allow for effective preparation of defense counsel. 18 | |
| 26 | U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv). The parties agree that the ends of justice are served by granting the | |
| 27 | continuance and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. | |
| 28 | | |
| | STIPULATION AND ORDER 19-CR-0636 EMC | 1 |
| - 1 | 1 | |

ORDER

Based on the reasons stated on the record and in the stipulation of the parties above, the Court hereby FINDS that the exclusion of time from January 22, 2020 to February 5, 2020 (inclusive) is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny defendant effective preparation of counsel. 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

DATED: January 27, 2020

HON. EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge